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PACENow’s Lender Support Handbook provides general guidelines for PACE borrowers and program administrators who seek consent from an existing mortgage lender for a PACE project, particularly when consent is being sought from a new and uninitiated lender. The Handbook provides broad information regarding the PACE marketplace, data on previously provided lender consents, consent template forms, and suggestions to gain the support of a building’s existing mortgage lender for a PACE project. It complements our Lender Support Study, which details important insights on lenders’ familiarity with and attitudes about PACE. Our findings in the Lender Support Study, which have helped us develop this Handbook, were based upon interviews with a wide variety of lenders. Nearly all of those 35 lenders (representing over 25 lending institutions) that participated in the study were open-minded about approving PACE projects. In general, mortgage lenders are receptive to the idea that energy efficiency and related projects can enhance a building’s value. All lenders are familiar with property taxes and assessments and already factor them into their lending decisions. As such, they understand the PACE concept.

The Handbook has been designed to provide information that will allow an existing lender to recognize the value proposition of a PACE-funded project and accommodate an important request from a valued customer. To date, over 35 lenders have already recognized the value of PACE and approved more than 80 PACE financed projects totaling nearly $15 million.\(^1\) Most lenders have approved more than one PACE transaction. Given the expected growth in PACE transaction volume nationally, some lenders are creating a formalized review process for PACE requests, backing the creation of standardized data and forms, and providing ongoing input for this Handbook.

Our goal is to make this Handbook a continuous work in progress, incorporating updates as PACE evolves as a financing tool for implementing sustainable improvements to our commercial building stock. PACENow welcomes feedback from Handbook users on their experience with the forms and information in the Handbook or their overall experiences in obtaining mortgage lender consent. Please feel free to share your comments and suggestions with us at lenderconsent@pacenow.org.

---

\(^1\) PACENow’s market data provides a snapshot of available market information. Data is provided to us on a voluntary basis by market participants and does not include all PACE activity.
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Part I: Introduction
I. Introduction

PACENow’s Lender Support Handbook is designed to assist commercial real estate owners when they seek consent from an existing mortgage lender as part of the PACE financing process. The Handbook should also be useful to PACE program managers as they provide guidance to PACE financing applicants. Intended to be a work in progress, the Handbook will be updated as new market information becomes available, the PACE market evolves, and users provide feedback.

II. Handbook Objectives

PACENow’s Handbook provides general guidelines for obtaining consent and sample forms that can be used as is, or modified for a particular program or financial institution. In addition, the Handbook offers supporting documentation, such as data on completed lender consents and information on the current state of PACE that users should find valuable throughout the lender consent consideration process.

Consistent with the work summarized in the first release of the Lender Support Study in December 2012, we continue to find that mortgage lenders have an open mind about approving PACE projects and understand that energy efficiency and related projects can enhance a building’s value. All lenders are familiar with property taxes and assessments and already factor them into their lending decisions. PACE should be presented similarly, as a method for decreasing maintenance, energy, and water expenses by an amount sufficient to pay the corresponding PACE assessment. Our data suggests that mortgage lenders are providing consent for transactions and with increasing frequency, which gives us reason for optimism. Details on approved project consents as well as a list of consenting lenders are summarized in the additional information section of the Handbook. Check back on our website; we will be updating this information as additional data becomes available.

III. Handbook Components

Suggested Forms – The Handbook includes sample forms that can be modified to suit specific circumstances.

- Consent Form A – is a simple, short lender consent agreement, generally intended for smaller, one or two component PACE projects of $500,000 or less in size. While the form covers all the basics, the lender letter is shorter, the list of recommended supporting property information is smaller, and the consent agreement is simpler.

- Consent Form B – is a full lender consent document, generally intended for larger, complex multi-component PACE projects greater than $500,000 in size. The form includes an in-depth letter to a lender explaining the proposed PACE transaction, a full list of recommended supporting property information, and a formal lender consent form prepared with the input of a law firm.

- Sample Intercreditor Agreement – is an optional document generally intended for those using Consent Form B when requested by the senior mortgage lender. Its purpose is to clarify and document the terms of an agreement between the senior mortgage lender and the PACE capital provider, such as the timing of events in the case of non-payment or default, number of days to provide notice, and the like. The Intercreditor Agreement was prepared by a law firm to serve as one example of such an agreement. Individual parties will likely find it helpful to modify or add terms to the agreement.

Note that Consent Form A or B may not satisfy every mortgage lender, but should provide a baseline from which to start creating an alternate form. Other mortgage lenders may be fine with Form A or B as is, with the understanding that the forms were created with input from multiple banks.
Additional Materials

• Project Timeline for Lenders – illustrates a general timeline for the steps of a PACE project from inception to completion. It is meant to visually summarize the chain of events and illustrate to a senior mortgage lender how consent fits within the PACE financing process.

• FAQs for Lenders – answers frequently asked questions by lenders about PACE transactions not readily and succinctly available in other places.

• List of Consenting Financial Institutions – provides a list of banks that have previously consented to PACE transactions.

• List of Consented Transactions - provides a partial list of consented transactions with details about the consenting banks, property types, assessed or appraised property values, and PACE dollar amounts.

Consented Transactions, by Property Type

![Property Type Pie Chart]

PACE Market Data

• PACE Transaction Trends – based on industry-wide PACE data as collected by PACENow through its data collection portal. Data includes transactions that required lender consent and transactions that did not require lender consent because they did not have a mortgage.

• List of PACE Programs by State – offers a summary of all PACE activity by program.

• Map of PACE Activity - provides a visual representation of the availability of PACE programs across the United States.

Strategy – Understanding How Lenders Think

Appreciating lender objectives in the borrower-lender relationship is critical to a successful PACE consent consideration process. Mortgage lenders provide asset based loans. These are also credit based products, typically have low profit margins, and no possibility for upside from asset appreciation (in contrast to equity investments that can benefit from asset appreciation), so avoiding losses that could result from a bad lending or underwriting decision is important. Lenders therefore focus on basics:

• Getting repaid in full and on time (without complications)
• Making a profit on the entire client relationship

The profitability of a client relationship is regularly derived from volume driven, fee-based businesses, such as cash management and investment planning services. Mortgage lending is often used as a way to gain access to new clients with the intent of winning these other, more profitable and less risky businesses. As such, banks are wary of increased risk on lending because loans often carry the highest risk, require significant bank capital, and provide relatively low returns. It is therefore vital to demonstrate to the mortgage lender the tangible economic benefits of the proposed PACE project as fully as possible when seeking consent.

Potential Lender Concerns

Typical first reactions from a mortgage lender to a PACE consent may reflect the following concerns:

• Having insufficient time and resources to understand energy efficiency measures
• Lending on projected savings data, instead of actual historic operating data
• Authorizing a potential drain on cash flow
• Consenting to physical changes to the collateral, which might increase collateral risk exposure (even if only temporarily)
• Approving placement of a new lien before the primary mortgage lien

Such concerns are generally normal at first glance and should not be immediate cause for concern. A bor-
rower who understands why mortgage lenders may have these reactions (spoken or unspoken) can use this knowledge to overcome the lender’s concerns.

Given today’s competitive marketplace, many mortgage lenders are short on time and resources, and are naturally focused on generating revenue. Most have little or no experience underwriting energy efficiency measures, so a PACE consent request requires them to spend valuable time considering something they know little about, and is unlikely to produce meaningful revenue.

Underwriting energy savings can be challenging, even for mortgage lenders who support sustainability measures. Typically, real estate underwriting is based upon readily available, verifiable, specific, comparable building data or first-hand building-specific, historic financial data. Such data is not yet readily available in standardized form for the energy efficiency and renewable energy projects typically included in PACE financings. Without it, lenders may find it difficult to accept project savings projections and view only PACE as an additional drain on cash flow. Furthermore, the physical upgrades to the property, even if ultimately beneficial, add an element of uncertainty.

Finally, and potentially of greatest concern, is the senior lien status that PACE shares with property taxes and most municipal assessments. Mortgage lenders provide loans with the understanding that they will have the senior lien on a property, and decisions they make on loan amounts, terms, and interest rates are based upon that understanding. Therefore, a request that appears to prime their senior mortgage lien is not likely to be viewed favorably.

IV. Presenting Your Project

Given the lender concerns outlined in the previous section, a successful PACE consent consideration request will address the salient issues by providing solid, organized, succinct information to the mortgage lender.

Addressing Energy Efficiency Underwriting and Financial Concerns

Mortgage lenders cannot easily evaluate proposed PACE energy efficiency measures independently because they lack historical data, access to industry databases, or professionals on staff who can assess the savings of a particular project. As a result, real estate lenders are prone to discount the value-add of the project and only focus on the additional expense as a result of PACE. Others have evaluated PACE as a new mortgage loan application - a long and cumbersome process. The goal should be to convince the lender that the PACE funded project will result in net savings to the building owner, and an increase in building value. It is incumbent on the borrower to help the lender by supplying any available supporting information, such as the following:

- An independent 3rd party, energy efficiency audit that details the expected energy savings and benefit to the property. (ex: ASHRAE or appraisal report)
- Measurable and quantifiable economic benefit of energy savings that show that the building will become more valuable with PACE. (ex: contractor estimates or product manufacturer guarantees)
- Data supporting efficiency savings from other properties using similar measures, including historical performance. For example, if a contractor or building owner has completed a similar project elsewhere, it is helpful to supply operating data

---

1 - PACENow is an ally of the Investor Confidence Project (ICP), the Environmental Defense Fund initiative, developing a consensus framework to predict and measure energy savings, enabling the acceleration of energy efficiency investments and the emergence of a robust and thriving commercial building sector. (www.eeperformance.org)
from this project. ²

• Ratio of annual PACE costs to annual property value over the PACE financing term. This ratio shows the change in lender’s exposure due to the additional PACE financing. Most lenders prefer to keep Loan to Value under a certain threshold; providing this calculation addresses one of their concerns.

• Before and after PACE Debt Service Coverage Ratio (DSCR)

• A calculation of the reduction in variable operating costs relative to total costs. It is critical to provide this as lenders may not understand that replacing an old unit will not only reduce consumption/increase efficiency but also save a certain amount on maintenance costs.

• The expected increase in property value (with a 3rd party appraisal report if possible). Note that building value will not increase exactly dollar for dollar based upon the cost of upgrades. Instead, increases in building value will be based upon increased cash flow and the relative attractiveness of the property compared to its competition.

• Finally, in these initial days of PACE, many mortgage lenders need borrower information as they do a complete review of the credit. Lenders may require property and borrower’s financials from the last three years and information on any off-balance sheet guarantees.

Addressing Collateral Risk Concerns

Not surprisingly, mortgage lenders are protective of the mortgaged property and its income, which are the collateral for the mortgage loan. PACE projects generally involve changes to the property that are intended to increase building value and improve efficiency. However, during the implementation period, a mortgage lender is subject to additional risk. For example, the building may suffer unintended damage or may be unfit for occupancy during upgrades. To mitigate the effects of construction-related PACE risk, a borrower should consider the following:

• Using well qualified, reputable contractors; if available, contractors vetted or trained by a PACE program are best

• Outlining contingency plans for possible tenant/business disruption or construction delays beyond the proposed construction time period

• Providing 3rd party construction consultants for larger, complex projects

• Obtaining fixed price contracts to minimize unexpected cost overruns

• Establishing a reserve fund

• Emphasizing to the mortgage lender that PACE covers all project costs (including up-front assessment costs and reserve funds) so that financial exposure to the lender is very limited

Addressing Lien Priming Concerns

PACE assessment liens are senior to a mortgage lender’s claims on a property, so lien priming may be a lender’s most fundamental concern. However, PACE-Now’s Lender Support Study found that most lenders have no blanket opposition to PACE, once they understand its structure, intent and the characteristics it shares with other assessments and property taxes they are already familiar with. The ability to withhold consent on a project makes lenders more naturally willing to consider them. For lenders with no prior knowledge of PACE, we recommend comparing the PACE assessment structure to other assessments they may be familiar with, such as water or wastewater, park, lighting, or business improvement district assessments. ³

A mortgage lender should be comforted by PACE’s conservative credit exposure. Because a typical PACE project has a total project cost-to-property value ratio of no more than 10%, a project with a 20 year PACE assessment term would have a maximum annual exposure of just half a percent (0.5%) at any given time. Even in the event of non-payment (resulting, for example, from a default, foreclosure, bankruptcy, or sale) PACE assessments do not accelerate. The maximum exposure and amount due is only the current payment (together with any unpaid past due amounts). The additional annual expense created by PACE may, in many instances, be similar to annual increases in property taxes and other involuntary tax assessments. In summary, the additional burden placed by a PACE lien on a property is generally small and often insignificant to the mortgage lender.

² - PACE programs that require building owners to provide measurement and verification (M&V) data on completed projects are establishing a useful database that should ease lender consent requests.

³ - It should be noted that while most benefit district assessments add a cost that results in indirect improvements to properties, PACE is likely the only assessment that results in a direct property upgrade and net operating cost benefit.
Other factors which may help alleviate PACE lien concerns:

- Emphasizing that the mortgage lender will gain additional, improved collateral through PACE that will lower operating costs and increase the property value
- Illustrating that the financial exposure for the mortgage lender is minimal by providing the PACE Project/Property Value Ratio each year over the term of the assessment
- Highlighting the reduced exposure to external fluctuating energy costs and reduction in carbon emissions for larger properties
- For those cities where building performance standards are being implemented, highlighting the benefits of compliance, particularly if benchmarking data is made public
- Demonstrating that “green” buildings are more appealing to building occupants (including tenants, who may pay premium rents)

Finally, it is helpful to remind the mortgage lender that the PACE project helps achieve state and local government public policy goals and objectives.

V. Practical Tactics

**Mortgage lenders are consenting** to PACE projects, and will continue to do so. Examples of consenting lenders and projects are included in this Handbook. However, accurate and illustrative project data is needed for each approval. New lenders, in particular, require additional handholding and patience throughout the process. While each consent process is likely to be unique in some respects, the following considerations may be useful:

- Prepare a summary memo for the mortgage lender and request a personal meeting. A memo can be referenced at any time by multiple people within the organization and serves as a good reference guide. While an in-person meeting may not be practical in all instances, meeting face-to-face has advantages. At all times, the program and property owner should be available to answer questions.
- Start the process early. Property owners need to use their own judgment about when to approach their mortgage lender, but it is generally a good idea to get an initial read from the lender before spending too much time on a proposed project.
- Tell a story to convey the need for PACE. Lenders may need to present the request at credit committee or write a summary request. It is easiest if they are able to accompany the request with a good narrative or story that puts the request in perspective. A successful applicant will have the mortgage lender’s buy-in that the project makes sense for the property.
- Emphasize the benefit for the lender. Since the mortgage lender is focused on protecting or enhancing their collateral, all information that shows improved property metrics such as decreased loan to value or lower fuel costs will be valuable. A mortgage lender who understands and underwrites the related savings of a PACE project is much more likely to provide consent.
- Stress the overall borrower-lender relationship. While not every property owner will have a broad relationship with their mortgage lender, those that do should leverage it. Mortgage lenders want to accommodate reasonable requests from valued clients. Some projects, including some that would be considered borderline, that have been approved quickly on the strength of a client relationship.

VI. Conclusion

**Approaching a mortgage lender** to ask for consent does not need to be difficult, time-consuming, or intimidating. If the request is framed appropriately and necessary information for evaluating the request is prepared for the mortgage lender, the requests should be handled similarly to other loan-related, special requests. Lenders of all types provide consents on a regular basis to property owners. Consents have already been granted by over 35 financial institutions, ranging from small local banks to insurance companies to large international banks. Consents have been given on various property types, including wineries and office buildings. Because PACE makes sense from a financial and building-related standpoint and physically improves the building, mortgage lenders understand its value. Overcoming common misconceptions and anticipating lender concerns can help smooth the consent process.
Part II: Forms
REQUEST FOR LENDER ACKNOWLEDGMENT

AND NOTICE OF PROPOSED BENEFITS OF A PROPERTY TAX ASSESSMENT

Notice Date: ______________

Lender name
Relationship Officer
Street
City, State, Zip

Borrower and Existing Loan Information: [Borrower Name and Contact Information]

Loan Number: [999999999] Existing & Original Loan Amount [999,999,999]

Proposed PACE property tax assessment: [999,999]

Dear Lender:

You have been sent this notice since the owner of the property above has a loan with your bank that is secured by real property. [Borrower Name/Real Estate Owner] wishes to install energy/resource efficiency upgrades to the property using the Property Assessed Clean Energy (“PACE”) financing mechanism and seeks your acknowledgement/consent to do so.

Brief Overview of PACE Energy Efficiency Financing.

In certain states legislation allows owners of real property (including commercial, industrial and multi-family housing) access to a new form of financing for energy/resource efficiency and onsite renewable energy. Funds provided to the property owner are utilized to lower the property’s energy/resource costs and are repaid via a property tax benefit assessment on the tax bill. Program administration terms and conditions vary by jurisdiction and applicable program details are attached.

Key features and advantages of the PACE financing program include the following:

- Cost savings of the upgrades help offset the assessment repayment
- The property tax assessment does not accelerate on sale or transfer (no balloon)
- Repayment obligation transfers with property ownership and survives foreclosure
- In addition to reducing operating expenses, long lived upgrades may also increase property values.
### Proposed Transaction Summary

**See attachments for additional information**

#### Subject Property
- **Street, City, State, Zip:**
- **Assessor Parcel Number(s):**
- **Property Type(s):**
- **Occupancy - Owner %, Tenancy Description:**
- **Short Property Description (15 words or less):**

#### Property Valuation
- **Assessed Value (assessor’s market estimate):**
- **Recent Appraised Value (report value conclusion & date):**
- **Changes to Property since Appraisal Date of Value:**
- **Borrower’s Estimate of Current Market Value (as-is):**
- **Current Property Value Estimate used in LTV Calculations:**

#### Proposed Energy/Resource Efficiency Upgrade List (include or mark those that apply below)
- **Building envelope – [write your own improvement, i.e. windows, doors, insulation]**
- **Fixed load upgrade – [HVAC, hot water]**
- **Lighting – [fixtures, occupancy/daylight sensors]**
- **Onsite power – [solar PV, solar thermal, fuel cell, geothermal]**
- **Other – [water conservation, heat exchanger, waste stream]**
- **Other -- [write in other improvement]**

#### Upgrade Budget, Financing Details
- **Total Upgrade Project Budget:**
- **Total Rebates/Incentives (excluding depreciation):**
- **Total Amount of PACE Financing (in $):**
- **PACE Assessment as % of Estimated Property Current Market Value:**
- **PACE Assessment as % of Outstanding Total Debt:**
- **LTV (Total Original Debt + PACE Assessment / Current Value Estimate Above):**
- **Savings to Investment [SIR] Ratio (Energy/Resource Projected Savings/Assessment Payment):**
- **Average Annual Energy Costs Used in Energy Cost Analysis ($/kWh, $/therm, $/gal fuel oil, etc):**

#### Estimated Average Annual Energy/Resource Savings:
- **Estimated Annual Assessment Repayment Amount:**
- **Assessment Repayment Term (years):**
Attended Additional Documentation (include or mark those that apply)

- Property tax bill(s), rent roll, recent full year operating statement
- Copy of recent appraisal
- Detailed description and budget of proposed upgrades, contractor name/company
- As-Is/pre-upgrade and post upgrade energy assessment/audit report
- EE Insurance policy
- Overview documents of relevant PACE financing program
- LEED, Energy Star or other ratings documentation
- Other ____________________________

SUMMARY OF AGREEMENT

The Lender representative signing below has the authority to do so, acknowledges that (i) the PACE financing will result in a benefit assessment against the subject property, (ii) repayment will be via a property tax assessment and (iii) such financing and assessment will not constitute loan defaults under your loan secured by the subject property.

AGREEMENT and/or LENDER CONSENT, LENDER ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Lender Representative Name:
Title:
Date:
Phone:
Dear [Bank Relationship Officer],

The owner of the property described in Appendix A wishes to make certain resource efficiency improvements to the property using funding from [PACE program]. You are being contacted because a written acknowledgement from existing lien holders that the assessment may be placed on the property and that such action will not constitute a default on the mortgage is a requirement of the program.

PACE is a municipal assessment financing program designed to fund energy efficiency, renewable energy (and in some instances, water efficiency) projects that benefit individual parcels/buildings and allow property owners to repay the cost as a contractual assessment on their property tax bill. PACE assessments are tied to the property, not its owner. The assessment functions like all other taxes, not accelerating upon sale or bankruptcy. PACE provides the building owner with up to 100% financing over a term of up to 20 years, allowing owners to realize positive cash flow immediately or over time by lowering operating costs by more than the PACE assessment. The goal of the PACE program is to increase building value and the bottom line.

Improvements for this property include [briefly list contemplated improvements] and are expected to cost [X dollars] of which [Y%] will be funded by the [your PACE Program]. The goal of the proposed improvements is to [for example, improve the energy or water efficiency, replace an outdated/non-functioning unit, add energy production capacity, etc]. The owner wishes to undertake such improvements because [for example, equipment X is functioning poorly/has exceeded its useful life and/or after undergoing an energy audit by XYZ, it has become evident that the building could function better with the recommended improvement program]. Based on cash flow analysis, the annual PACE assessment of $$$ represents [X%] of property value, with a first year exposure of XX% relative to appraised or assessed value. For further financial details and for estimated benefits to cash flow, please see Appendix A.

The proposed project has been bid by [XYZ Contractor], one of the [contractors vetted by your PACE Program]. Given the size and the scope, appropriate risk mitigation measures will be taken into consideration and may include the following: [for example, a benchmarking analysis and audit were performed pursuant to ABC standards, a contractor guaranty of energy savings, guaranty from the manufacturer, hiring of a 3rd party engineering/construction consultant, consultation with tenants in the building, etc.] Attached, please find a sample of the PACE financing process from start to finish.

We look forward with working with you on this project. In order to help us move forward with proposed PACE financing, please review the attached information and return the signed forms to us at the above address. Should you have any questions or comments, please phone us directly at xxx-xxx-xxxx or by e-mail at paceprogram@pace.gov.

Sincerely,

“PACE Program Representative.”
Additional and Optional Program Related Information

[This section is intended to describe the details of Your PACE program, including a description of which state and local statutes authorize the program, any particular nuances of the program, and a description of program requirements for each application. In addition, it may be helpful to include an explanation of the where the transaction is in the PACE program approval process to indicate when the project is expected to be completed].

[Your PACE Program] was established in [YEAR] by [MUNICIPALITY] and/or [ORGANIZATION] under [cite relevant State and municipal Statutes] to authorize use of an assessment charge on the annual property tax bill as the mechanism to ensure repayment of funds provided for energy saving improvements to private property that meet a public good.

[Your PACE Program] has begun processing of the proposed PACE application which accompanies this letter. Standard procedure includes verification (which can be provided to you on request) of the following:

[See some examples below]

1. The property is located in an eligible jurisdiction
2. The improvements can be legally financed with PACE
3. The applicant is the legal owner of the property
4. The property is not subject to any involuntary liens or judgments
5. The property owner has met eligibility requirements to enter into the Program (list appropriate requirements)
6. Property taxes and assessments are current on the property and have not been delinquent for a period of five years
7. The property owner is current on any property related debt
8. The property owner is not in bankruptcy
9. The project will produce savings greater than the cost of the financing over the life of the project
10. The proposed improvements are permanently affixed to the property
11. The property owner has received the consent of the current mortgage holder(s)

Background information about [Your PACE Program]

- History of PACE program, year established
- Program Mechanics and Timelines
- Number, Type, and Amount of Transactions Already Processed
- Program Requirements
- List of Eligible Improvements
- Financing Metrics such as maximum loan amounts or terms
- Names of possible or already identified Capital Providers
- Description of any construction or post-project completion monitoring
- Contact information for questions
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property Name/Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Property Description (in 15 words or less):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Built, Renovated:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessor’s Parcel Number:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner and Loan Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner(s)/Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership Structure (sole proprietor, LLC, etc):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner is Customer of Bank since (provide year):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Owner’s Business with Bank:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship Officer/Bank Unit Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan Name and Reference Number:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borrower Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Loan Amount:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Outstanding Loan Amount:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Origination:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturity Date:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most Recent Property Financials (Insert of as date)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property Gross &amp; Net Rentable SF:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appraised/Assessed Value (specify):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding LTV:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service Coverage:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Annual Revenue:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Annual Expenses:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBIT &amp; EBITDA:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOI:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Upgrade Summary [(Please attach cashflow statement for details)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade Description (15 words or less):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Contractor(s) with bids:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor Contact information:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Auditor Name and Contact Info (if available):</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PACE and Project Financial Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PACE Project Cost:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expected Savings:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACE Financing Term:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple Payback Term:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACE Cost /Property Appraised or Assessed Value:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual PACE Assessment/ Property Value:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSCR High/Low during project:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACE Capital Provider Name and Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Provider’s Additional Terms and Conditions, including pre-payment options and covenants:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LENDER NOTIFICATION AND CONSENT TO PROPOSED CONTRACTUAL ASSESSMENT

Property Information

Owner and Borrower: ________________________________
Address: ________________________________
Assessor’s Parcel Number: ________________________________
Lender: ________________________________
Loan Name and Number(s): ________________________________

Background Information

[The City/County/State of XXX], (the “[County]”) has established the [PACE PROGRAM (“PP”)] to help finance the acquisition and construction on and installation in the assessed properties, including the Property, of certain qualifying renewable energy systems and energy and water efficiency improvements (the “Improvements”) pursuant to [Chapter X of Part Y of the ZZZ Code of YOUR STATE (“Contractual Assessment Law”)]. The City of [City], a political subdivision of the State, has resolved to participate in PP.

In accordance with [Contractual Assessment Law], the [County] will levy a contractual assessment to finance the installation of the Improvements on certain property with the agreement of the applicable property owner pursuant to the terms of an assessment contract (the “Assessment Contract”) between such property owner and the [County]. Pursuant to [Section “XXXX” of Contractual Assessment Law], the contractual assessment (including any penalties and interest) is collected on the property tax bill and is secured by a lien on the applicable property that is (i) senior to all private liens, including private liens that existed prior to levy of the contractual assessment and (ii) cannot be subordinated to the private liens.

Information regarding the purpose and method of administration of the assessments under [PP] can be found at ________ [website].

The undersigned is the lender (the “Lender”) with respect to the above-referenced loan (the “Loan”) relating to the above-referenced property (the “Property”), fee title of which is owned by the above-referenced Owner. In connection with the Owner’s application for participation in [PP] established by the [County], the Lender hereby certifies, acknowledges, confirms and agrees as follows:

(1) He/she is duly authorized to execute this Certificate on behalf of the Lender.

(2) The Lender is in receipt of the Notice of Proposed Contractual Assessment (the “Notice”) from the Owner that Owner intends to finance installation on the Property of certain renewable energy, energy efficiency and/or water efficiency improvements that will be permanently fixed to the Property by participating in [PP].
As a result of an Assessment Contract between the [County] and the Owner (the “Assessment Contract”) and pursuant to Chapter X of Part Y of the ZZZ Code of YOUR STATE, the Contractual Assessment described in the Notice will be levied on the Property and the Contractual Assessment (including any penalties and interest) will be secured by a statutory lien that is senior to the Loan securing the Loan.

The Lender consents to the levy of the Contractual Assessment pursuant to the Assessment Contract.

The Lender agrees that the levy of the Contractual Assessment will not constitute an event of default or cause, directly or indirectly, the exercise of any remedies under any documents relating to the Loan.

The Lender further acknowledges that the Owner and the [County] will rely on this Certificate in connection with the disposition and administration of the Assessment Contract and the [PP].

[LENDER(s)]

By: ________________________________
  Name: ____________________________
  Title: ____________________________
  Date: ____________________________

By: ________________________________
  Name: ____________________________
  Title: ____________________________
  Date: ____________________________
SAMPLE INTERCREDITOR AGREEMENT

DRAFT
This document is for informational purposes only. Parties are advised to consult their legal counsel before entering into any agreement.

THIS INTERCREDITOR AGREEMENT (this “Agreement”) is dated as of the ___ day of ______ 20___, by and between the [PACE LENDER], a ______________ (“PACE Lender”) and [PRIVATE LENDER], a ______________ (“Private Lender”).

RECITALS:

A. The Private Lender is the holder of a loan to [PROPERTY OWNER] (“Owner”) that is secured by a security interest in the real property described at Exhibit A attached hereto (the “Property”). Such loan is referred to herein as the “Loan.”

B. Owner intends to finance installation on the Property of certain renewable energy, energy efficiency and/or water efficiency improvements (the “Authorized Improvements”) by participating in a program known as “[YOUR PACE PROGRAM],” which is sponsored by the [SPONSORING GOVERNMENT ENTITY] (the “Gov’t Entity”).

C. The Gov’t Entity will provide financing to Owner through the issuance of a special tax bond (the “Bond”) payable solely from special taxes levied on the Property (the “Special Taxes”), and the Bond will be purchased by PACE Lender. The Bond will be issued pursuant to a Fiscal Agent Agreement, by and between the Gov’t Entity and [FISCAL AGENT] (“Fiscal Agent”).

D. The Special Taxes will be levied on the Property, and the payment of the Special Taxes (including any penalties and interest) will be secured by a statutory lien that is senior to the lien securing the Loan.

E. The Owner has requested that the Private Lender execute and deliver that certain Lender Consent to Proposed Tax Lien, dated __________, 20___ (the “Consent”), pursuant to which the Private Lender would consent to the levy of the Special Taxes and agree that the levy of the Special Taxes and the creation of the proposed Special Tax lien will not constitute an event of default or trigger the exercise of any remedies under the Loan documents. As a condition to its execution of the Consent, the Private Lender requires that PACE Lender execute and deliver this Agreement prior to the PACE Lender’s purchasing the Bond.

NOW, THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, and to induce the Private Lender to execute the Consent, the PACE Lender and Private Lender hereby agree as follows:
1. Definitions.

Capitalized terms used below, and not otherwise defined, will have the meanings ascribed to them in the Recitals to this Agreement.

2. Right of Foreclosure Upon Special Tax Delinquency. [CONFIRM TIME FRAMES]

(a) Notice of Special Tax Delinquency and Cure Rights. The PACE Lender agrees to deliver a written notice of Owner’s failure to pay Special Taxes (any such failure, a “Special Tax Delinquency”) to the Private Lender within [5] Business Days of the PACE Lender’s receipt of notice to that effect from the Fiscal Agent. The Private Lender will have the right, but not the obligation, to cure any Special Tax Delinquency within the [60]-day period provided in paragraph (b) below. All amounts advanced or expended by the Private Lender to cure a Special Tax Delinquency will be deemed to have been advanced by the Private Lender pursuant to, and will be secured by the lien of, the Loan.

(b) PACE Lender’s Exercise of Foreclosure Remedy. If a Special Tax Delinquency occurs and is continuing, the PACE Lender, upon at least [60] days’ prior written notice to the Private Lender, may exercise its right under the Fiscal Agent Agreement to direct the Gov’t Entity to commence foreclosure proceedings with respect to the Special Tax Delinquency.

3. Default by the PACE Lender or Private Lender.

If the PACE Lender defaults in performing or observing any of the terms, covenants or conditions to be performed or observed by it under this Agreement, the Private Lender shall have the right to all available legal and equitable relief. Neither the Gov’t Entity nor the Fiscal Agent has any obligation to the Private Lender.


Each notice, request, demand, consent, approval or other communication (hereinafter in this Section referred to collectively as “notices” and referred to singly as a “notice”) that the PACE Lender is required to give to the Private Lender pursuant to this Agreement, or that either party is permitted to give to the other pursuant to the final paragraph of this Section 4, shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly and sufficiently given if (a) personally delivered with proof of delivery thereof (any notice so delivered shall be deemed to have been received at the time so delivered), or (b) sent by Federal Express (or other similar national overnight courier) designating early morning delivery (any notice so delivered shall be deemed to have been received on the next Business Day following receipt by the courier), or (c) sent by United States registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, at a post office regularly maintained by the United States Postal Service (any notice so sent shall be deemed to have been received two days after mailing in the United States), addressed to the party as follows:
The Private Lender may, by notice given pursuant to this Section, change the person or persons and/or address or addresses, or designate an additional person or persons or an additional address or addresses, for its notices, but notice of a change of address shall only be effective upon receipt. Each of the PACE Lender and Private Lender each agrees that it will not refuse or reject delivery of any notice given hereunder, that it will acknowledge, in writing, receipt of the same upon request by the other party and that any notice rejected or refused by it shall be deemed for all purposes of this Agreement to have been received by the rejecting party on the date so refused or rejected, as conclusively established by the records of the U.S. Postal Service or the courier service.

5. General.

(a) Assignment/Successors. This Agreement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the respective legal successors and assigns of the PACE Lender and the Private Lender.

(b) No Partnership or Joint Venture. The parties’ rights and obligations in respect of Section 1 hereof do not constitute either party as a joint venturer or partner of the other. Neither party hereto shall hold itself out as a partner, agent or affiliate of the other party hereto.
(c) **Amendment.** This Agreement shall not be amended except by written instrument signed by all parties hereto.

(d) **No Merger.** This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement of the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof.

(e) **Governing Law.** This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of [INSERT APPLICABLE LOCAL STATE].

(f) **Severable Provisions.** If any provision of this Agreement shall be invalid or unenforceable to any extent, then the other provisions of this Agreement shall not be affected thereby and shall be enforced to the greatest extent permitted by law.

(g) **Term.** The term of this Agreement shall commence on the date hereof and shall continue until the payment of all Special Taxes as contemplated under the Fiscal Agent Agreement.

(Remainder of page intentionally left blank.)
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement as of the day and year first written above.

PACE LENDER:

[PACE LENDER]

By: ____________________________  
[Name]  
[Title]

PRIVATE LENDER:

[PRIVATE LENDER]

By: ____________________________  
[Name]  
[Title]

Acknowledged and Agreed:

OWNER:

[OWNER]

By: ____________________________  
[Name]  
[Title]
EXHIBIT A

LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY
Part III: Additional Information
# PACE Project Timeline

## Property Owner

- Property owner obtains energy audit or contractor report for potential project
- Property owner identifies project & savings, then obtains initial contractor bids
- Property owner submits preliminary PACE application
- Successful applicants complete full application

**For some programs, lender consent is required with full application submission; others require consent after full application approval**

## PACE Program

- Review of initial application by a PACE program
- Successful applicants complete full application
- Program staff reviews application and documentation. Application review may include outside technical consultants.
- Some PACE programs work with property owner to find specialized PACE financing, if needed.

**Lender consent required for all projects**

- Approved applicants start project
- Once project is complete, property owner submits funding request to local PACE program
- Signed copies of closing package returned to PACE program
- Property owner repays funding via a line item on the property tax for a term of up to 20 years. If property is sold, the assessment stays with the building
- Property owner monitors project savings

- Upon approval (and inspection, if necessary), closing funding package sent to property owner
- Assessment lien recorded at closing
- Check for funding request issued
- Local PACE program may monitor and verify project savings
What’s in it for the Mortgage Lender to grant consent?
PACE financing for energy efficiency upgrades and renewable energy measures makes buildings more valuable. PACE financing can make projects immediately cash flow positive, making it easier for property owners to repay their mortgages. Better cash flow results in improved DSC (debt service coverage) and a lower LTV (loan to value) as property value increases. Studies have shown that owners who make sustainable improvements are less likely than average to default on debt because they have invested in their buildings.

What happens to the PACE assessment in the event of a bankruptcy or a foreclosure?
PACE assessments do not accelerate upon a bankruptcy or foreclosure and are not extinguished. They have characteristics similar to other property taxes and assessments. As with any outstanding property tax lien, only the amount of the assessment that is overdue or current is due at the time of foreclosure. Future PACE assessments remain with the property and are assumed by its new owner. PACE assessments are never canceled or forgiven.

What would be the existing Mortgage Lender’s typical PACE exposure per year?
Generally, no more than 0.5% of the property value per year. Since a PACE funded project will rarely exceed 10% of the property value, and assuming a 20-year PACE financing term, the amount due each year is approximately 0.5% of property value. Even if the assessment became delinquent, only amounts past due and current are payable. There is no acceleration.

Does the Lender need to provide any cash? If not, who provides the funds for the project and how is it structured?
Lenders do not need to provide funding for a PACE project, though nothing would prevent them from doing so, and some programs offer existing lenders a right of first refusal. To date, PACE projects have been funded by many different private market capital providers. Since PACE can be used to fund up to 100% of the cost of an upgrade, it also does not require any funds from the property budget or the property owner. If desired, an Intercreditor Agreement may be used to specify the terms between the capital provider and the mortgage lender, providing a formal mechanism for the capital provider to provide notice to the mortgage lender in the event of PACE non-payment or default. In many areas where a default on any tax triggers a default on all tax obligations, such procedural mechanisms may not be necessary.

How long does it take to complete a PACE financing or obtain lender consent?
It depends entirely on the scope of the project and related factors. Single energy conservation measures, such as replacing a boiler, may be completed fairly quickly. More comprehensive energy measures can take anywhere up to a year to complete, particularly if a comprehensive energy audit is performed. Please see the “Timeline” document for details. Lender consent for a project has been received in as little as a day, but can take up to a month for more complicated upgrades.

Can PACE financing take-out an existing commercial mortgage loan?
PACE is intended as funding for new retrofit improvements by property owners to replace outdated, inefficient equipment and to install permanently affixed, new equipment that reduces energy or water consumption or produces renewable energy. Additionally, some programs allow property owners to finance work in progress.
Partial List of Consenting Financial Institutions

National Banks:
Bank of America
JPMorgan Chase & Co
US Bank
Wells Fargo

Regional Banks:
Bank of the West
California Bank and Trust
Fifth Third Bank
First Community Bank
First Republic Bank
Guaranty Bank and Trust
Mechanics Bank
Sterling Bank
Union Bank of California
West America Bank

Local Banks:
Bank of Ann Arbor
Exchange Bank
First National Bank of Boulder
Santa Cruz County Bank
Security Bank of Kansas City
Umpuqua Bank
Whittier Trust Company of Nevada

International Banks:
Hanmi Bank
Helaba Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen
Two Major German Banks

Specialized Lenders:
Ally Capital
American Agricultural Bank
Ameriprise Financial
Eagle Bank
Lehman Brothers
New Resource Bank
Redwood Credit Union
Thrivent Financial
US Small Business Administration (SBA)
Washington D.C. Housing Authority

Insurance Companies:
Mutual of Omaha
Northwest Mutual
Riversource Life Insurance Company
### Partial List of Consented Transactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lender Name</th>
<th>Consent Amount ($)</th>
<th>Property Description</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Property Value* ($)</th>
<th>PACE/Property Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A2B2 LLC</td>
<td>91,580</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>EE HVAC</td>
<td>457,900</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Ag Credit</td>
<td>103,519</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>RE Solar Thermal</td>
<td>6,773,823</td>
<td>1.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Ag Credit</td>
<td>65,217</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>2,657,280</td>
<td>2.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American River Bank</td>
<td>65,328</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>EE Cool Roof</td>
<td>283,656</td>
<td>23.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American River Bank</td>
<td>65,328</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>EE Lighting</td>
<td>283,656</td>
<td>23.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American River Bank</td>
<td>65,328</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>EE HVAC</td>
<td>283,656</td>
<td>23.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ameriprise Financial</td>
<td>185,000</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>EE Lighting</td>
<td>25,000,000</td>
<td>0.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank of America</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>EE Cool Roof</td>
<td>62,104,000</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank of America</td>
<td>1,609,644</td>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>35,224,673</td>
<td>4.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank of Ann Arbor</td>
<td>67,342</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>EE Lighting</td>
<td>1,820,700</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank of the West</td>
<td>24,258</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>EE Cool Roof</td>
<td>10,526,190</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank of the West</td>
<td>90,100</td>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>1,025,000</td>
<td>8.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Bank &amp; Trust</td>
<td>120,473</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>EE Lighting</td>
<td>652,052</td>
<td>18.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cen-Cal Bus Finance Group and SBA</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>EE Cool Roof</td>
<td>1,331,812</td>
<td>7.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase</td>
<td>30,321</td>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td>EE Insulation</td>
<td>624,240</td>
<td>4.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase</td>
<td>30,321</td>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td>EE Windows</td>
<td>624,240</td>
<td>4.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chestnutz (Private Lender)</td>
<td>222,160</td>
<td>Hotel</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>763,411</td>
<td>29.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circle Bank</td>
<td>24,086</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>RE Solar Thermal</td>
<td>544,446</td>
<td>4.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange Bank</td>
<td>91,703</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>2,516,197</td>
<td>3.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange Bank</td>
<td>77,925</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>1,098,036</td>
<td>7.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange Bank</td>
<td>129,160</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>1,721,862</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange Bank</td>
<td>13,097</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>EE Cool Roof</td>
<td>134,988</td>
<td>9.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange Bank</td>
<td>13,097</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>EE Windows</td>
<td>134,988</td>
<td>9.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Community Bank and SBA</td>
<td>348,442</td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>2,783,070</td>
<td>12.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Republic Bank</td>
<td>42,374</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>1,476,890</td>
<td>2.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Republic Bank</td>
<td>382,423</td>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td>EE Insulation</td>
<td>2,272,506</td>
<td>16.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Republic Bank</td>
<td>382,423</td>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td>EE Windows</td>
<td>2,272,506</td>
<td>16.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanni Bank</td>
<td>220,319</td>
<td>Hotel</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>2,730,000</td>
<td>8.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion Haddad (Private Lender)</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>666,407</td>
<td>12.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanics Bank &amp; Sacramento Cert. Dev. Corp</td>
<td>72,000</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>EE HVAC</td>
<td>1,611,450</td>
<td>4.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Business Connection LLC</td>
<td>133,440</td>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td>EE Lighting</td>
<td>4,462,500</td>
<td>2.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Resource Bank</td>
<td>135,401</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>EE Cool Roof</td>
<td>3,103,646</td>
<td>4.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Resource Bank</td>
<td>135,401</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>EE HVAC</td>
<td>3,103,646</td>
<td>4.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Resource Bank</td>
<td>135,401</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>EE Insulation</td>
<td>3,103,646</td>
<td>4.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Resource Bank</td>
<td>135,401</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>EE Lighting</td>
<td>3,103,646</td>
<td>4.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Resource Bank</td>
<td>135,401</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>3,103,646</td>
<td>4.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Resource Bank</td>
<td>36,170</td>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>362,000</td>
<td>9.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Resource Bank</td>
<td>36,170</td>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>362,000</td>
<td>9.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Resource Bank</td>
<td>36,170</td>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>362,000</td>
<td>9.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Resource Bank</td>
<td>36,170</td>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>RE Solar Thermal</td>
<td>362,000</td>
<td>9.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Resource Bank</td>
<td>36,170</td>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>RE Solar Thermal</td>
<td>362,000</td>
<td>9.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Resource Bank</td>
<td>37,648</td>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>362,000</td>
<td>10.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Resource Bank</td>
<td>37,648</td>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>RE Solar Thermal</td>
<td>362,000</td>
<td>10.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern Mutual</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>EE Cool Roof</td>
<td>48,500,721</td>
<td>1.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Union Assn. of 7th Day Adventists (or Parker Mortg &amp; Invsmnt)</td>
<td>100,621</td>
<td>Hotel</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>1,608,148</td>
<td>6.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premier Valley Bank</td>
<td>216,596</td>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>27.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redwood Credit Union</td>
<td>26,973</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>651,704</td>
<td>4.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redwood Credit Union</td>
<td>107,973</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>1,075,645</td>
<td>10.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redwood Credit Union and SBA</td>
<td>350,068</td>
<td>Hotel</td>
<td>RE PV</td>
<td>1,686,208</td>
<td>20.76%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For informational purposes only. Due to limited availability of property value information, some data represents appraised value, while most data shows assessed value.
### Partial List of Consented Transactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lender Name</th>
<th>Consent Amount ($)</th>
<th>Property Description</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Property Value* ($)</th>
<th>PACE/Property Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sterling Savings Bank</td>
<td>184,089</td>
<td>Multifamily RE</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>3,206,406</td>
<td>5.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling Savings Bank</td>
<td>184,089</td>
<td>Multifamily RE</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>3,206,406</td>
<td>5.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling Savings Bank</td>
<td>184,089</td>
<td>Multifamily RE</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>3,206,406</td>
<td>5.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling Savings Bank</td>
<td>184,089</td>
<td>Multifamily RE</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>3,206,406</td>
<td>5.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling Savings Bank dba Sonoma Bank</td>
<td>188,353</td>
<td>Hotel RE</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>2,806,792</td>
<td>6.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling Savings Bank dba Sonoma Bank</td>
<td>88,438</td>
<td>Office RE</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>773,329</td>
<td>11.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summit State Bank</td>
<td>98,916</td>
<td>Agricultural RE</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>1,001,267</td>
<td>9.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrivent</td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
<td>Mixed Use RE</td>
<td>Solar Thermal</td>
<td>11,050,420</td>
<td>9.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umpqua Bank</td>
<td>10,429</td>
<td>Retail RE</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>553,319</td>
<td>1.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Bank</td>
<td>399,750</td>
<td>Agricultural RE</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>4,335,537</td>
<td>9.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Bank &amp; Trust</td>
<td>248,400</td>
<td>Office RE</td>
<td>Lighting</td>
<td>1,427,400</td>
<td>17.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>154,096</td>
<td>Hotel RE</td>
<td>EE Lighting</td>
<td>1,485,486</td>
<td>10.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Bank</td>
<td>65,195</td>
<td>Multifamily RE</td>
<td>EE Cool Roof</td>
<td>779,000</td>
<td>8.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Small Business Administration</td>
<td>303,803</td>
<td>Office RE</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>4,743,000</td>
<td>6.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Small Business Administration</td>
<td>77,925</td>
<td>Retail RE</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>1,098,036</td>
<td>7.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank</td>
<td>10,760</td>
<td>Hotel RE</td>
<td>EE Windows</td>
<td>1,159,190</td>
<td>0.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank</td>
<td>97,506</td>
<td>Other RE</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>3,159,045</td>
<td>3.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank</td>
<td>324,887</td>
<td>Retail RE</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>2,591,365</td>
<td>12.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank</td>
<td>216,596</td>
<td>Mixed Use RE</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>27.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank &amp; SBA</td>
<td>121,297</td>
<td>Multifamily RE</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>1,743,281</td>
<td>6.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WestAmerica Bank</td>
<td>60,455</td>
<td>Industrial RE</td>
<td>EE Cool Roof</td>
<td>584,001</td>
<td>10.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WestAmerica Bank</td>
<td>60,455</td>
<td>Industrial RE</td>
<td>EE HVAC</td>
<td>584,001</td>
<td>10.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WestAmerica Bank</td>
<td>60,455</td>
<td>Industrial RE</td>
<td>EE Insulation</td>
<td>584,001</td>
<td>10.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WestAmerica Bank</td>
<td>125,214</td>
<td>Retail RE</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>1,059,915</td>
<td>11.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WestAmerica Bank</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>Retail RE</td>
<td>EE HVAC</td>
<td>830,077</td>
<td>12.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WestAmerica Bank</td>
<td>69,127</td>
<td>Agricultural RE</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td>536,393</td>
<td>12.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WestAmerica Bank</td>
<td>98,250</td>
<td>Mixed Use RE</td>
<td>EE HVAC</td>
<td>534,563</td>
<td>18.38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For informational purposes only. Due to limited availability of property value information, some data represents appraised value, while most data shows assessed value.
Part IV: PACE Market Data
PACE Transaction Trends as of August 2013

Cumulative PACE Transactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Today</td>
<td>$30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PACE Transactions, by Type of Improvement

- Energy Efficiency: 31%
- Renewable Energy: 46%
- Mixed: 23%

PACE Transactions, by Property Type

- Office: 23%
- Retail: 19%
- Services: 15%
- Other: 20%
- Multifamily: 5%
- Industrial: 5%
- Hotel: 6%
- Agriculture: 7%

Number of PACE Transactions, by Size

- <50K
- 50K-100K
- 100K-200K
- 200K-500K
- 500K-1M
- 1M-5M
- 5M-10M
- 10M-50M
- 50M-up
# List of PACE Programs By State
## as of August 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>Lead Organization</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Number of Projects</th>
<th>$ Funded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In Development</td>
<td>Arkansas Advance Energy Association</td>
<td>Fayetteville</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaliforniaFIRST</td>
<td>Renewable Funding</td>
<td>16 Counties</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California PACE - FigTree</td>
<td>FIGTREE Energy</td>
<td>18 Counties</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1,544,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Energy Sacramento</td>
<td>Ygrene Energy Fund</td>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3,160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Independence</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Palm Desert</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Independence</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Yucaipa</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Upgrade LA PACE</td>
<td>Sustento Group</td>
<td>Los Angeles County</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>205,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GreenFinanceSF</td>
<td>City / Renewable Funding</td>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERO Program</td>
<td>Samas Capital</td>
<td>2 Counties</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPower Placer</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Placer County</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>742,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCEIP</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Sonoma County</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>11,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Smart</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Boulder County</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1,520,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-PACE</td>
<td>CEFFIA</td>
<td>Any municipality in CT</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,164,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Energy DC</td>
<td>Urban Atlantic Advisors</td>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>290,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL Green Energy Works</td>
<td>EcoCity Partners</td>
<td>Any municipality in FL</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL PACE Funding Agency</td>
<td>SAIC, Bryant Miller &amp; Olive</td>
<td>Any municipality in FL</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Corridor District</td>
<td>Ygrene Energy Fund</td>
<td>Any municipality in FL</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>210,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Energy Atlanta</td>
<td>Ygrene Energy Fund</td>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Development</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Arbor PACE</td>
<td>Clean Energy Coalition</td>
<td>Ann Arbor</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>565,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean &amp; Green Michigan</td>
<td>Lean &amp; Green Michigan</td>
<td>Any municipality in MI</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edina Emerald Energy</td>
<td>Eutectics Consulting</td>
<td>Edina</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>95,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota PACE</td>
<td>Eutectics Consulting</td>
<td>Any municipality in MN</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO Clean Energy District</td>
<td>Missouri Clean Energy Funding</td>
<td>Any municipality in MO</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set the PACE St. Louis</td>
<td>Energy Equity Funding</td>
<td>St Louis</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energize NY</td>
<td>Energy Independence Corporation</td>
<td>Any municipality ex NYC</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Development</td>
<td>Greater Cincinnati Energy Alliance</td>
<td>Cincinnati</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake County PACE</td>
<td>Lake County Port Authority</td>
<td>County District</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3,375,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toledo Municipal PACE</td>
<td>Toledo-Lucas Port Authority</td>
<td>County District</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>12,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Development</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Multnomah County (Portland)</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Development</td>
<td>Utah Clean Energy</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>UT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Development</td>
<td>Keeping PACE in Texas</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2 Milwaukee</td>
<td>WECC</td>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All totals provided by program administrators

| Total Buildings Upgraded: 179 | Total $ Funded: 37,890,997 |

| Reported Applications for Future Funding: 141 | 82,139,000 |
| Average Funded Transaction: 211,682 | 500,000 |

**Legend:**
- PACE programs with funded projects
- Launched PACE programs
- PACE programs in development